Using R to model the cost-effectiveness of options for the management of *Plasmodium vivax* infections

Angela Devine

Menzies School of Health Research The University of Melbourne





9 July 2019

twitter: @devinefy



Plasmodium vivax malaria

- 4 types of malaria
- *P. falciparum* is the most common
 - Most cases in Africa
 - More deadly
- *P. vivax* is the most widespread: 1/3 of the world is at risk

ESTIMATED NUMBER OF PLASMODIUM VIVAX CASES BY COUNTRY, 2013





Challenges in *P. vivax* treatment

- One infection can lead to multiple relapses due to dormant parasites in the liver (hypnozoites)
- The drugs that clear these hypnozoites can cause haemolysis in individuals with G6PD deficiency





Background

- Extremes in practice: always/never prescribe radical cure to clear both blood and liver stages
- New point of care tests enable screening for G6PD deficiency before giving radical cure





Background

- Question: Is screening for G6PD deficiency before prescribing primaquine cost-effective as compared to:
 - 1. Not prescribing primaquine
 - 2. Prescribing primaquine without screening
- Part of my PhD
 - Unit moving away from paid software
 - I wanted to upskill
 - Coded base case in TreeAge first, then in R



Overview

- Analysis and results for primaquine in Thailand (published 2017 in *Plos NTD*s)
- Now adapting for a new single-dose drug (tafenoquine) as part of HTA approval process in Brazil (CONITEC)
- Experiences as a new R user, reflections & questions





Script 1: Model

```
тJ
46
   ### MODEL COSTS
47
   # Chloroguine strategy
   costs_CQ <- model_CQ*cMild+model_CQ*cRecur*RecurCQ</pre>
48
49
   # Primaguine strategy
50
    costs_PQ <- ((cMild+cPQ_14d+cDOTs_14d)*cohort + # all get PQ in initial visit</pre>
51
                   cRecur_PQ*RecurPQ*p1 + # recurrences in those who get PQ and are G6PD normal
                   cRecur*RecurCQ*(p2a+p2b+p2d) + # recurrences in G6PDd (didn't get full PQ course)
52
53
                   cHaemolysis*p2b
                                                     # cost of haemolysis in G6PDd who got PQ & received tra
54
55
   # Screening strategy
56
    costs_screen <- (cohort*(cMild+cG6PDtest) +</pre>
                                                         # all get a G6PD test
57
                                                                # 14d PQ given to those who test normal
                        (cPQ_14d+cDOTs_14d)*(prop1+prop4) +
58
                        (cPQ_8w+cDOTs_8w)*(prop2+prop3) +
                                                                # 8w PQ given to those who test deficient
                       cRecur_screen*RecurPQ*(prop1) +
59
                                                                # Recurrences in those who got 14d PQ
60
                       cRecur_def*RecurPQ*(prop2+prop3) +
                                                                # Recurrences in those who got 8w PQ
                       cRecur_def*RecurCQ*(prop4a+prop4b+prop4d) + # Recurrences in those who don't get PC
61
62
                       cHaemolysis*prop4b
                                                                # cost of haemolysis in FN who got PQ & trea
63
64
   # Incremental costs
65
    IncrCosts_CQ <- (costs_screen - costs_CQ)</pre>
66
    IncrCosts_PQ <- (costs_screen - costs_PQ)</pre>
<u>----</u>
```



Script 2: parameters + analysis script

COSTS cPQ <- 0.06 cDOTs <- 1.67 cMild <- 7.86 cSevere <- 196.22

cG6PDtest <- 1.50 + 0.25 # Ley 2015 for RDT cost + non-RDT costs from Lubell 2007 cHaemolysis <- 320.71 # 1 week hospitalization + 1 unit blood # per pill: Intl Drug Price Indicator Guide 2014 (for 60kg person) # per observation: Kyaw 2016 half day of work *13 days of DOTs (overestimate) # cost of an outpatient visit at a health centre in Thailand (WHO CHOICE) # cost of IP Pf malaria in Mae Sot treated with artesunate (Kvaw 2014)

> source ("Pv model (M).R") model PO # check that model screen # check that ## Record results in vectors # Base case costs base_costs_CQ <- costs_CQ</pre> base_costs_PQ <- costs_PQ</pre> base_costs_screen <- costs_screen</pre> # Base case DALYs base_DALYs_CQ <- DALYs_CQ</pre> base_DALYs_PQ <- DALYs_PQ</pre> base_DALYs_screen <- DALYs_screen</pre> # Base case incrementals for cohort base_IncrCosts_CQ <- IncrCosts_CQ</pre> base_DALYavert_CQ <- DALYavert_CQ</pre> base_IncrCosts_PQ <- IncrCosts_PQ</pre> base_DALYavert_PO <- DALYavert_PO</pre> base_ICER_CQ <- base_IncrCosts_CQ / base_DALYavert_CQ</pre> base ICER PO <- base IncrCosts PO / base DALYavert PO



One-way SA

```
# G6PDd
pG6PDd <- c(0.069, 0.206)
pG6PDn <- 1 - pG6PDd
source ("Pv model (M).R")
IncrCosts_pG6PDd_CQ <- IncrCosts_CQ</pre>
DALYavert_pG6PDd_CQ <- DALYavert_CQ
IncrCosts_pG6PDd_PQ <- IncrCosts_PQ</pre>
DALYavert_pG6PDd_PQ <- DALYavert_PQ
pG6PDd <- 0.137
pG6PDn <- 1 - pG6PDd
# RDT sensitivity
pTP <- c(0.9, 1)
pFN < -1 - pTP
source ("Pv model (M).R")
IncrCosts_pTP_CQ <- IncrCosts_CQ</pre>
DALYavert_pTP_CQ <- DALYavert_CQ
IncrCosts_pTP_PQ <- IncrCosts_PQ</pre>
DALYavert_pTP_PQ <- DALYavert_PQ
pTP <- 0.99
pFN <- 1 - pTP # 1 - sensitivity
```



New scripts

```
params <- list(
  "pvpts" = c(200, 37, 1169),
                                # Throug
  "drate" = c(0.05, 0, 0.1),
                                # discou
  "biolife" = c(3, 1, 5),
                                # Lifeti
  "pAdhere" = c(0.837, 0.2, 1.0),
                                 # perce
  "propR" = c(0.77, 0.4, 0.85),
                                # propor
  "rr" = c(0.547, 0.386, 0.775),
                                # RR of
  "nRecurCQ" = c(2, 1, 3),
                                #*ASSUMP
  "nRecurRC" = c(1, 1, 2),
                                #*ASSUMP
  "pG6PDd" = c(0.048, 0.036, 0.065), \# \% w
  "pTP" = c(0.98, 0.90, 1.00),
                                # sen
  "pTN" = c(0.97, 0.90, 1.00), # speci
  "pTPq" = c(1.00, 0.95, 1.00), # sensi
  "pTNq" = c(0.96, 0.90, 0.98),
                                # speci
  "pHaem" = c(0.1, 0.05, 0.15),
                                # haemol
  "pTrans" = c(0.36, 0.18, 0.55), # % rec
  "pMortH" = c(0.08, 0.04, 0.13),
                                 # Dea
  "Pv_severe" = c(0.03, 0.015, 0.045), #
  "Pv_death" = c(0.0003, 0, 0.00045), #
  "cHaem" = c(368.3, 184.15, 552.45), #
  "cPQ" = c(0.14, 0.07, 0.21), # Peixo
  "cTQ" = c(1.4, 1.4, 2.1), # cost
  "cDOTs"= c(51, 25, 76),
                                # Sampa
```

Total recurrences

```
# Quantitative test cost per patient
cQuant <- params$cStrip +
  params$cMachine/rate/params$pvpts +
  params$cQA +
```

```
# Get lower limit case
```

```
lower.output <- matrix(NA, nrow = length(params), ncol=10)
for (i in 1:length(params)){
  tmp.params <- sapply(params, "[[", 1)
  tmp.params[i] <- sapply(params, "[[", 2)[i]
  tmp.params <- as.data.frame(t(tmp.params))
  lower.output[i,] <- unlist(base.model(tmp.params))</pre>
```

Thailand Results

- Screening strategy averted DALYs
- Screening strategy saved costs when compared to giving primaquine without screening
- Screening modestly increased costs when compared to not giving primaquine
 - ICER = \$6 for males
 - ICER = \$12 for females



Two-way SA

- Green favours screening strategy
- Purple favours primaquine strategy



R-Shiny app

- LOTS of variation between settings (epidemiology & costs)
- Can use google analytics to track
- Pushing for policy change
- Should the online model include a disclaimer?



Website: https://malaria.shinyapps.io/g6pd_screening/

Cost effectiveness of G6PD screening

Model Overview

A single infection with *Plasmodium vivax* malaria can cause multiple episodes of illness due to dormant liver parasites called hypnozoites. Primaquine is the only drug available to treat hypnozoites but is under-used because it can cause life-threatening hemolysis in people who have an inherited condition called glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency. In our model, this is the chloroquine strategy. In other locations, primaquine is given without testing for G6PD deficiency (the primaquine strategy), putting patients at unnecessary risk of hemolysis. New rapid diagnostic tests provide the opportunity to screen for G6PD deficiency prior to primaquine treatment. In our model, this is the screening strategy, which includes 8 weekly doses of primaquine for those who test G6PD deficient. Our study describes a cost-effectiveness analysis that we did for a Thailand-Myanmar border setting. A full description of our model is here: [link to journal website].

Here we provide our interactive model for adaptation to other locations. Change the parameters below to see how they change the results on the right. The model assumes observed primaquine therapy.

Compared to the chloroquine strategy

Females

Males

For females, the screening strategy cost US\$ 0.4 more than the chloroquine strategy with 0.0238 averted DALYs.

Compared to the primaquine strategy (no G6PD screening)

For females, the screening strategy cost US\$ 2.2 less than the primaquine strategy with 0.0041 averted DALYs.

Results

Number of females that you would need to treat before seeing one death due to primaguine-induced hemolysis



Recurrences G6PD RDT Costs DALYs

These parameters result in an average of 2.6 recurrences when treated with chloroquine only and an average of 0.2 recurrences when treated with chloroquine plus primaquine (14 day or 8 weekly).

Percent treated with chloroquine only who have at least 1 recurrence



Percent of women who are pregnant so cannot take primaguine



Relative risk of having at least 1 recurrence if given 14 day primaquine



Mean number of recurrences over 1 year if given chloroquine

Model Parameters

3.54

Mean number of recurrences over 1 year if given chloroquine with primaquine

1.16

Percent of women who need to take a pregnancy



Assumes that all women of childbearing age who do not know they are pregnant receive a pregnancy test.

Future work and discussion questions

- Consensus on model parameters for Brazil
- 5 comparators for Brazil how do you automate code to pick which are cost-effective (PSA)?
- How do you draw decision tree diagrams?
- Is there a package or way to graph tornado diagrams?
- Have other people built shiny apps for their models?
- How do you maintain your R language skills?



Thank you!

<u>Menzies, Australia</u> Ric Price Benedikt Ley

FIOCRUZ, Brazil

Marcus Lacerda Vanderson Sampaio Wuelton Monteiro

school of health research MELBOURNE

MORU & SMRU, Thailand Yoel Lubell Cindy Chu Germana Bancone Francois Nosten



wellcome^{trust}



UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD





<u>MMV, Switzerland</u> Elodie Jambert Penny Grewal Stephan Duparc

LSHTM, UK Shunmay Yeung